

The Honorable Richard A. Jones

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

BOMBARDIER INC.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	NO. 2:18-cv-1543-RAJ
)	
v.)	AEROTEC DEFENDANTS' MOTION
)	TO FILE ITS OPPOSITION TO
MITSUBISHI AIRCRAFT CORPORATION,)	BOMBARDIER'S PRELIMINARY
MITSUBISHI AIRCRAFT CORPORATION)	INJUNCTION AND SUPPORTING
AMERICA, INC.; AEROSPACE TESTING)	EXHIBITS UNDER SEAL
ENGINEERING & CERTIFICATION, INC.;)	
MICHEL KORWIN-SZYMANOWSKI; LAURUS)	NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:
BASSON; MARC-ANTOINE DELARCHE; CINDY)	
DORNÉVAL; KEITH AYRE; and JOHN AND/OR)	Friday, January 4, 2019
JANE DOES 1-88,)	
)	ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED
DEFENDANTS.)	
)	

Pursuant to Western District of Washington Local Rule 5(g) ("LCR 5(g)"), at Plaintiff's request, Defendants Aerospace Testing Engineering & Certification, Inc. ("AeroTEC"), Michel Korwin-Szymanowski, Laurus Basson and Cindy Dornéval (collectively, the "AeroTEC Defendants") requests leave to file the following documents under seal: the following documents under seal: (1) an unredacted version of the AeroTEC Defendant's Opposition to Bombardier Inc.'s Motion for Preliminary Injunction; (2) the supporting Declaration of Laurus Basson; and

(3) the supporting Declaration of Cindy Dornéval.

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On December 6, 2018, the AeroTEC Defendants entered into a Stipulated Interim Agreement re Confidential Documents and Information with Bombardier Inc. and Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation America Inc., reflecting Bombardier's belief that the eleven exhibits to the Declarations of Daniel Burns and David Tidd, which Plaintiff filed on under seal on October 19, 2018, contain confidential information. The Agreement broadly also covers "any testimony, conversations, or presentations by parties or their counsel that might reveal Confidential material." The AeroTEC Defendants do not believe that anything in their Preliminary Injunction Opposition or the supporting declarations contain any confidential information, but out of an abundance of caution, they agreed to serve Plaintiff's counsel with copies on December 26, 2017, and that Plaintiff's counsel would have a chance to "identify the content it deems confidential and appropriate for redaction, if any" by 3:00 today. (Dkt. No. 57 at 2:35.)

Pursuant to Local Rule 5(g)(3), and as set forth in the Declaration of Mark Bailey filed herewith, AeroTEC certifies that the parties have met and conferred on the need to file the documents under seal. Despite vigorous disagreement from the AeroTEC and MITAC Defendants, Bombardier maintained that the redactions and sealing were necessary, though the requested redactions clearly contain legal arguments, publicly available information, and other obviously non-confidential information. The AeroTEC Defendants disagree with Plaintiff's designations, but have agreed to file a redacted opposition brief, and to file the Basson and Dornéval Declarations under seal in their entirety. This is done under protest. The Basson and Dornéval Declarations generally discuss their backgrounds and experience, and explain the acts that Plaintiff alleges to

1 have been wrongful. The Exhibits are their agreements with AeroTEC, and Basson includes a
 2 copy of a document he found on-line. Nothing in the Declarations should remain under seal. The
 3 redacted content of the AeroTEC Declarations is similarly not appropriate for filing under seal.
 4 Plaintiff has simply demanded redaction of any reference to the Burns and Tidd Declaration
 5 Exhibits, even though they do not disclose any content. Plaintiff's own pleadings and papers
 6 describe those and summarize their content documents freely and openly.
 7

8 Given the fact that Plaintiff's counsel was unable to limit the scope of their redactions and
 9 requests, the parties' meet and confer was as thorough as it could be insofar as attempting to reach
 10 a resolution in the hopes of avoiding disputes.

11 **II. LEGAL AUTHORITY AND ARGUMENT**

12 LCR 5(g) specifies that "there is a strong presumption of public access to the court's files."
 13 "Only in rare circumstances should a party file a motion, opposition, or reply under seal." LCR
 14 5(g)(5). A party moving to seal its brief must make "a specific statement of the applicable legal
 15 standard and the reasons for keeping a document under seal, with evidentiary support from
 16 declarations where necessary." LCR 5(g)(3)(B).
 17

18 In our case, the party moving to redact and seal the documents at issue is not the designating
 19 party. Pursuant to LCR 5(g), whichever party designates a document confidential must provide a
 20 "specific statement of the applicable legal standard and the reasons for keeping a document under
 21 seal, including an explanation of: (i) the legitimate private or public interest that warrant the relief
 22 sought; (ii) the injury that will result if the relief sought is not granted; and (iii) why a less
 23 restrictive alternative to the relief sought is not sufficient." LCR 5(g). Here, Bombardier is the
 24 designating party, and bears the burden to justify its designations sealing the exhibits and redacting
 25
 26

1 portions of the Opposition referencing the exhibits.

2 As was clear at the meet and confer, Bombardier will not be able to rationally justify its
3 designations in this case. Nevertheless, AeroTEC is obligated to abide by its agreement and
4 therefore asks leave of this Court to file under seal. The Court should order Plaintiff to file a
5 response to the motion to seal, and include the information required by Local Rule 5(g)(3)(B) to
6 justify the need for the documents to remain under seal.
7

8 A Proposed Order has been filed with this motion pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(b)(1).

9
10 DATED this 27th day of December, 2018.

11 KARR TUTTLE CAMPBELL
12 *Counsel for the AeroTEC Defendants*

13 /s/ Richard J. Omata

14 /s/ Mark A. Bailey

15 Richard J. Omata, WSBA #7032

16 Mark A. Bailey, WSBA #26337

17 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300

18 Seattle, WA 98104

19 Telephone: 206-223-1313

20 Facsimile: 206-682-7100

21 Email: romata@karrtuttle.com

22 Email: mbailey@karrtuttle.com
23
24
25
26